Electronically Filed 7/2/2021 11:29 AM Fifth Judicial District, Blaine County Jolynn Drage, Clerk of the Court By: Angie Ovard, Deputy Clerk

Michael C. Creamer, ISB #4030 Michael P. Lawrence, ISB #7288 Charlie S. Baser, ISB #10884 GIVENS PURSLEY LLP 601 W. Bannock St. P.O. Box 2720 Boise, Idaho 83701-2720 Telephone: 208-388-1200 Attorneys for City of Hailey

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF BLAINE

SOUTH VALLEY GROUND WATER DISTRICT and GALENA GROUND WATER DISTRICT,

Petitioners,

v.

THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES and GARY SPACKMAN in his official capacity as Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources,

Respondents.

Case No. CV07-21-00243

CITY OF HAILEY'S MOTION TO INTERVENE

Fee Category: Exempt Idaho Code \$ 67-2301

The City of Hailey ("City"), by and through its attorneys Givens Pursley LLP, hereby files this *Motion to Intervene* in the above-captioned matter.

BACKGROUND

On May 4, 2021, the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR") issued a *Notice of Administrative Proceeding, Pre-Hearing Conference, and Hearing* in Docket No. AA-WRA-2021-001 ("Basin 37 Matter"). The Basin 37 Matter involves the potential curtailment of groundwater pumping in Basin 37, particularly within an area commonly known as the "Bellevue Triangle."

City holds groundwater rights in Basin 37, but not in the Bellevue Triangle.

Nevertheless, City is concerned that the factual and legal issues addressed in the proceeding could affect the City's water rights. Accordingly, on May 18, 2021, City filed its *Notice of Intent to Participate* in the Basin 37 Administrative Proceeding as required by the *Notice of Administrative Proceeding, Pre-Hearing Conference, and Hearing*. City was allowed to participate as a member of the "Outside Bellevue Triangle Water Users" group and, together with other parties in that group, including the Cities of Bellevue and Ketchum and Sun Valley Company, it was allowed to fully participate in the proceedings. *See Prehearing Order;*Scheduling Order at 2 (May 25, 2021).

On May 24, 2021, Petitioners South Valley Ground Water District and Galena Ground Water District (together, the "GWDs") initiated the above-captioned matter, which concerns the Basin 37 Matter, by filing their *Petition for Judicial Review, Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction or, Alternatively, Writ of Prohibition* along with supporting documents.

On May 27, 2021, in addition to denying the Petitioners' request for a temporary restraining order, the Court also issued a *Procedural Order* providing that persons or entities that file a *Notice of Appearance* within ten days (i.e. by June 6) would automatically be allowed intervention in this proceeding. City was unaware of that deadline and did not file a *Notice of Appearance*.

On June 28, 2021, the Director issued his *Final Order* in the Basin 37 Matter, ordering the curtailment of groundwater rights in the Bellevue Triangle.

The GWDs have again requested that this Court issue a temporary restraining order and other relief, a hearing on which was conducted on July 1, 2021 (the day the Director's *Final Order* determined that curtailment should begin). The Court issued an order denying the GWD's requests on the date of this filing (July 2, 2021).

City seeks intervention in this matter because it continues to have an interest in the factual and legal issues raised in this matter. As with the City of Pocatello's June 25, 2021 *Motion to Intervene*, which this Court granted on June 30, 2021, City's *Motion to Intervene* is timely and satisfies the standards under Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b), and granting it would not cause prejudice to any party.

ARGUMENT

City satisfies the criteria for permissive intervention under Rule 24(b)(1), which provides:

On timely motion, the court may permit anyone to intervene who: . . .

(B) has a claim or defense that shares with the main action a common question of law or fact.

I.R.C.P. 24(b)(1). See also State v. United States (in Re SRBA Case No. 39576), 134 Idaho 106, 110, (2000) ("I.R.C.P. 24(b) allows permissive intervention by a person '[u]pon timely application' and 'when an applicant's claim . . . and the main action have a question of law or fact in common.""). "The decision of whether to grant the motion to intervene is discretionary with the trial court. A court acts within its discretion if it perceives the issue as discretionary, acts within the outer boundaries of its discretion and consistently with applicable legal standards, and reaches its decision by an exercise of reason." *Id.* "In exercising its discretion, the court

CITY OF HAILEY'S MOTION TO INTERVENE

¹ See also McCormack v. Hiedeman, No. 4:11-CV-00433-BLW, 2012 WL 2049359, at *2 (D. Idaho June 6, 2012) ("As with Rule 24(a) intervention, the court should interpret [the Rule 24(b)] requirements broadly in favor of intervention.")

must consider whether the intervention will unduly delay or prejudice the adjudication of the original parties' rights." I.R.C.P. 24(b)(3). *See also Farrell v. Bd. of Comm'rs*, 138 Idaho 378, 390 (2002) ("To determine timeliness, the court considers three factors: (1) the stage of the proceeding at which an applicant seeks to intervene; (2) the prejudice to other parties; and (3) the reason for and length of the delay.").

1. City's *Motion to Intervene* is timely and its intervention will not unduly delay or prejudice other parties' rights.

The timeliness of a motion to intervene under Rule 24 is "determined from all the circumstances: the point to which the suit has progressed is not solely dispositive." *State v. United States*, 134 Idaho 106, 109 (2000). Intervention is timely as long as it will not "unnecessarily and unreasonably delay the trial of issues between the original parties." *Herzog v. City of Pocatello*, 82 Idaho 505, 508 (1960). Timeliness is judged by the "extent of prejudice which any delay resulting from the granting of the application will cause to the existing parties." *Duff v. Draper*, 96 Idaho 299, 302 (1974).

In this matter, the GWDs seek both declaratory and injunctive relief. To date, the Court has acted only to deny the request for a Temporary Restraining Order, to stay Count 1 of the complaint (dismissing without prejudice the remainder of the counts), and to hear argument on a renewed motion for Temporary Restraining Order. The scope and claims to be made in the case have yet to be determined. City's intervention is timely.

2. City's claims and defenses share a common question of law or fact with the main action.

City's claims and defenses in this matter relate to the factual and legal issues surrounding the Director's convening a hearing to consider curtailing junior ground water users in Basin 37 outside the Conjunctive Management Rules, IDAPA 37.03.11 ("CM Rules"). Whether the

Director has adequate statutory authority to evaluate and order curtailment in this manner is an

issue of first impression that potentially affects all groundwater users in Basin 37—indeed, in the

entire State. In addition, facts at issue in this proceeding, such as those concerning the use of the

Wood River Valley Groundwater Flow Model v.1.1, may potentially affect all groundwater users

located within the Model domain, including the City. These factual and legal issues are the

"common question[s] of law or fact" that provide the basis for City's intervention in this

proceeding.

CONCLUSION

Because City satisfies the requirements for intervention under I.R.C.P. 24(b), it

respectfully requests that its Motion to Intervene be granted.

Respectfully submitted this 2nd day of July, 2021.

GIVENS PURSLEY LLP

By:

Michael P. Lawrence

Attorneys for City of Hailey

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on this 2nd day of July, 2021, I filed and served true and correct copies of the above and foregoing document by method selected below:

FILED: Gary L. Spackman, Director U.S. Mail Idaho Department of Water Resources Hand Delivered Overnight Mail PO Box 83720 Facsimile 322 E. Front Street X E-mail/iCourt Boise, ID 83702 gary.spackman@idwr.idaho.gov megan.jenkins@idwr.idaho.gov U.S. Mail Garrick L. Baxter Deputy Attorney General Hand Delivered Overnight Mail PO Box 83720 Facsimile Boise, ID 83720-0098 X E-mail/iCourt garrick.baxter@idwr.idaho.gov rebecca.wills@idwr.idaho.gov Idaho Department Of Water Resources U. S. Mail Albert P. Barker Hand Delivered Travis L. Thompson Overnight Mail BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON Facsimile 195 River Vista Place, Ste. 204 X E-mail/iCourt Twin Falls, ID 83301-3029 apb@idahowaters.com tlt@idahowaters.com mas@idahowaters.com jks@idahowaters.com Attorneys for South Valley Ground Water District U.S. Mail James R. Laski Hand Delivered Heather E. O'Leary Overnight Mail Lawson Laski Clark, PLLC Facsimile 675 Sun Valley Rd., Ste. A X E-mail/iCourt P.O. Box 3310 Ketchum, ID 83340 jrl@lawsonlaski.com heo@lawsonlaski.com

Attorneys for Galena Ground Water District

efiling@lawsonlaski.com

W. Kent Fletcher	U. S. Mail
FLETCHER LAW OFFICE	Hand Delivered
P.O. Box 248	Overnight Mail
Burley, ID 83318	Facsimile Facsimile
wkf@pmt.org	X E-mail/iCourt
Attorney for Big Wood Canal Company	
Candice McHugh	U. S. Mail
Chris M. Bromley	Hand Delivered
MCHUGH BROMLEY, PLLC	Overnight Mail
Attorneys at Law	Facsimile
380 S. 4th St., Ste. 103	X E-mail/iCourt
Boise, ID 83702	
cmchugh@mchuhbromley.com	
cbromley@mchughbromley.com	
Attorneys for City of Bellevue	
Attorneys for Sun Valley Company	
Attorneys for Coalition of Cities	
Anomeys for Counton of Cities	
Sarah A. Klahn	U. S. Mail
SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN	Hand Delivered
2033 11th St., #5	Overnight Mail
Boulder, CO 80302	Facsimile
sklahn@somachlaw.com	X E-mail/iCourt
dthompson@somachlaw.com	
Attorneys for City of Pocatello	
Joseph F. James	U. S. Mail
James Law Office, PLLC	Hand Delivered
125 5th Ave. West	Overnight Mail
Gooding, ID 83330	Facsimile Facsimile
joe@jamesmvlaw.com	X E-mail/iCourt
efile@jamesmvlaw.com	
Attorneys for Big Wood & Little Wood Water Users	
Association	
Jerry R. Rigby	U. S. Mail
Rigby, Andrus & Rigby, Chartered	Hand Delivered
25 North Second East	Overnight Mail
Rexburg, ID 83440	Facsimile X E-mail
jrigby@rex-law.com	A E-IIIaII
chendricks@rex-law.com	
Attorney for Multiple Persons and Entities	

Ann Y. Vonde	U. S. Mail
Owen Moroney	Hand Delivered
Michael C. Orr	Overnight Mail
P.O. Box 83720	Facsimile X E-mail
Boise, ID 83720-0010	A_E-man
ann.vonde@ag.idaho.gov	
owen.moroney@idfg.idaho.gov	
michae.orr@ag.idaho.gov	
Attorneys for the Idaho Department of Fish and Game	

Michael P. Lawrence

anopan